

## REMARKS

Reconsideration, of this application, as amended, is requested.

Claims 1, 2 and 4-11 remain in the application. Claim 1 has been amended to incorporate the limitations that had been in claim 3. Accordingly, claim 3 has been canceled. Original dependent claim 7 has been rewritten as an independent claim with all of the limitations of original claim 1. New claims 10 and 11 have been added. New claim 10 substantially corresponds to original claim 5, but depends from claim 7. New claim 11 substantially corresponds to original claim 6, but depends from claim 10.

Original claim 1 was rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being obvious over U.S. Patent No. 6,236,372 to Lindenmeier et al. The Examiner identified the elements of the Lindenmeier et al. reference that were considered to correspond to original claim 1. Original claim 2 was rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being obvious over Lindenmeier et al. in view of WO 93/18634 to Peresano. The Examiner acknowledged that the Lindenmeier et al. reference did not have a defrosting network with a U-shape, the arms of which are directed upwards. However, that Peresano reference was considered to provide this deficiency of Lindenmeier et al. Claims 5 and 6 were rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being obvious over Lindenmeier et al. considered in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,510,804 to Talty. The Examiner acknowledged that the Lindenmeier et al. reference does not have the remote keyless entry antenna in the shape of an uppercase F. However the Examiner concluded that Talty has an F-shaped antenna.

The Examiner identified original claims 3, 4 and 7-9 as being directed to patentable subject matter. In this regard, the Examiner acknowledges that the prior art of record does not suggest that the FM2 antenna comprises a two-wire cable to pick-up the


FM signal received by its aerial and to transmit the signal to an electronic housing, the two-wire cable comprising an earth wire connected to the earth line and an FM signal wire connected to the symmetrical lines. Regarding claim 7, the Examiner acknowledged that the prior art of record did not teach that the aerial of the remote keyless entry antenna has a screen printed earth line of a length on the order of 150mm and serving as an earth for the 434MHz signal.

Claim 1 has been amended to incorporate all of the limitations that had been in original claim 3. The Examiner will note that amended claim 1 does not incorporate the limitations of claim 2. However, the Examiner concluded that the limitations of original claim 2 are known from Peresano. Accordingly, it is believed that the combination of original claims 1 and 3 is patentable without incorporating the known limitations of claim 2. Claims 2 and 4-6 depend directly or indirectly from amended claim 1, and hence are believed to be in condition for allowance as well.

Allowable dependent claim 7 had depended from claim 6, which in turn depended from claim 5, and which further depended from claim 1. Claim 7 has been rewritten as an independent claim with all of the limitations of claim 1, but without the limitations of original dependent claims 5 and 6. In this regard, the Examiner noted that Talty teaches the F-shaped antenna of original claim 5 and that the limitations of original claim 6 would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. Hence, it is believed that the limitations of claims 5 and 6 are not required to define amended independent claim 7 patentably over the prior art. Accordingly, it is submitted that amended claim 7 is in condition for allowance. Claims 8-11 depend from amended claim 7 and should be allowed as well.

In view of the preceding amendments and remarks, it is submitted that the claims remaining in the application are directed to patentable subject matter, and allowance is solicited. The Examiner is urged to contact applicants attorney at the number below to expedite the prosecution of this application.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "G. E. Hespos", is written over the typed name.

Gerald E. Hespos, Esq.  
Atty. Reg. No. 30,066  
Customer No. 001218  
CASELLA & HESPOS LLP  
274 Madison Avenue - Suite 1703  
New York, NY 10016  
Tel. (212) 725-2450  
Fax (212) 725-2452

Date: December 14, 2007